

## CLAIMS

1. A method of failure avoidance when synchronizing a transceiver end and a receiver end by means of transmitted sequence numbers, each sequence number not necessarily being further error protected, the method c h a r a c -  
5 t e r i z e d i n that a received sequence number considered erroneous according to a predetermined criterion is disregarded.
2. The method according to claim 1 c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d i n that the criterion comprises arranging of se-  
10 quence numbers according to their time of arrival and purg-  
ing of received sequence numbers not being within a predic-  
tion interval as determined from earlier received and non-  
purged one or more sequence numbers and number of one or  
more transmission time intervals with no data received be-  
15 tween consecutively received sequence numbers.
3. The method according to claim 2 c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d i n that the transmission time intervals are  
weighted by the maximum number of transmission blocks of  
the transport format.
- 20 4. The method according to claim 3 c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d i n that an integer is added to the weighted num-  
ber of transmission intervals.
5. The method according to any of claims 2-4 c h a r -  
a c t e r i z e d i n that a received sequence number be-  
25 ing greater than an estimated greatest sequence number al-  
lowed is disregarded.
6. The method according to any of claims 2-4 c h a r -  
a c t e r i z e d i n that a received sequence number not  
being greater than an estimated greatest sequence number  
30 allowed is not disregarded.

7. The method according to any of claims 1-6 characterized in that the purged sequence of sequence numbers is passed to updating of a hyper frame number.

5 8. The method according to claim 7 characterized in that the hyper frame number is updated according to a basic method.

9. The method according to claim 1 characterized in that the criterion comprises arranging of received sequence numbers according to their time of arrival  
10 and for each decision interval sequentially disregard each one of the received sequence numbers within a decision window comprising consecutively received sequence numbers.

10. The method according to claim 9 characterized in that the decision window spans over an integer number of consecutively received sequence numbers.  
15 starting with the sequence number of the decision interval.

11. The method according to claim 9 or 10 characterized in that the decision window spans over an  
20 integer number of consecutively received sequence numbers starting with the sequence number of the most recently received sequence number.

12. The method according to any of claims 9-11 characterized in that the decision window spans over  
25 at least four consecutively received sequence numbers.

13. The method according to any of claims 9-12 characterized in that for each disregarded sequence number a candidate hyper frame updating is undertaken.

14. The method according to claim 13 characterized in that the candidate hyper frame updating is undertaken according to a basic method.

15. The method according to claim 13 or 14 characterized in that if, for any one disregarded sequence number within the decision window, the candidate hyper frame number updating results in a non-increased hyper frame number, no further sequence number is disregarded and no further candidate HFN updating is undertaken for the decision interval.

16. The method according to any of claims 13-15 characterized in that if, for any one disregarded sequence number within the decision window, the candidate hyper frame number updating results in a non-increased hyper frame number, the hyper frame number of the decision interval is set equal to the hyper frame number of the preceding decision interval.

17. The method according to any of claim 13 or 14 characterized in that if, for all each one of the disregarded sequence numbers within the decision window, the candidate hyper frame number updating results in the same hyper frame number, this candidate hyper frame number is decided to be the hyper frame number of the decision interval.

18. The method according to any of claim 13 or 14 characterized in that if, for all each one of the disregarded sequence numbers within the decision window, the candidate hyper frame number updating results in a hyper frame number increase, the hyper frame number of the decision interval is set equal to the hyper frame number of the preceding decision interval increased by one.

19. The method according to claims 8 or 14 c h a r a c -  
t e r i z e d i n that the basic method increases a hyper  
frame number if, when comparing two received sequence num-  
bers, the most recent of the two sequence numbers is less  
5 than the other sequence number.

20. The method according to claim 19 c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d i n that the comparison is made modulo an inte-  
ger, the integer being equal to the cycle length of trans-  
mitted sequence numbers.

10 21. The method according to any of claims 1-20 c h a r -  
a c t e r i z e d i n that it is a method of avoiding ci-  
pher synchronization failure.

22. The method according to any of claims 1-21 c h a r -  
a c t e r i z e d i n that it allows for reduction of re-  
15 dundancy being added to payload.

23. An element for receiving one or more transmitted se-  
quence numbers synchronizing to a transceiver end by means  
of the transmitted sequence numbers, each sequence number  
not necessarily being further error protected, the element  
20 c h a r a c t e r i z e d b y processing means for disre-  
garding one or more sequence numbers considered erroneous.

24. The element according to claim 23 c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d i n that the processing means disregards sequence  
numbers in accordance with the method in any of claims 1-  
25 22.

25. An element for receiving one or more transmitted se-  
quence numbers each sequence number not necessarily being  
further error protected, the element c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d b y prediction means for prediction of a most re-  
30 cent sequence number from one or more earlier sequence num-  
bers and comparison means for comparing the predicted se-

quence number with a received counterpart and for conditionally disregarding the received sequence number being the prediction counterpart.

26. The element according to claim 25 c h a r a c t e r -  
5 i z e d b y the comparison means conditionally disregarding the received sequence number being the prediction counterpart if it exceeds a threshold value.

27. A radio communications system c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d b y means for carrying out the method in any of  
10 claims 1-22.

28. A radio communications system c h a r a c t e r -  
i z e d b y one or more elements according to any of  
claims 23-26.